



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/286,874	04/06/1999	FRANK L. GRAHAM	ADVEC9	5534

7590 12/26/2001  
GERARD H BENCEN  
426 ANDERSON COURT  
ORLANDO, FL 32801

EXAMINER

WOITACH, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*See  
Attachment*

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/286,874

Applicant(s)

Grahm, F. et al.

Examiner

Joseph T. Voitach

Group Art Unit

1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph T. Voitach

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Joseph Fisher

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 18, 2001Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

None.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Applicant contacted Examiner regarding possible claim amendments which would put the application in condition for allowance. Upon discussion with Applicant and further review of the final office action, Examiner noted that if enablement issues were outstanding over an adenoviral vector gene delivery system as exemplified by claim 1, it was unclear how the specification could provide the necessary guidance to use the kit encompassed by claims 9 and 10. Examiner agreed that a product had need of only one enabled use, however from the prosecution history it was unclear what this use would be besides a delivery system for gene therapy protocols which seemed to be the only intended use of the vector system Examiner could find in the disclosure or applicants' arguments. Examiner indicated that finality would be withdrawn and that a new office action would be sent.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.






\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* TX REPORT \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO 4540  
CONNECTION TEL 914072280329  
SUBADDRESS  
CONNECTION ID  
ST. TIME 02/20 09:47  
USAGE T 01'09  
PGS. 4  
RESULT OK

Joseph Woitach  
Patent Examiner (US-PTO)  
Phone: 703-305-3732  
Fax: 703-308-8724



# Fax

To: Joesph Fischer

Fax: (407) 228-0329

Pages: 4 (including cover)

Phone: (407) 228-0328

Date: February 20, 2002

Re: copy of Interview summary for 9/286,874

☐ Urgent ☒ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle


• Comments:

In response to your fax inquiry (sent Feb. 19, 2002), attached is a copy of the Interview summary which was mailed December 26, 2001. The file has been removed from my office to match-up a paper which is the Interview Summary returned by the post office.

As noted previously, it appears that the mailing address for this application in our system may not be current. The mailing address on the office action is 426 Anderson Court, and the address on the last mailing from your office is from 1630 Hillcrest Street.

Please contact me if you need any more information.

Joseph Woitach  
Patent Examiner (US-PTO)  
Phone: 703-305-3732  
Fax: 703-308-8724



# Fax

**To:** Joesph Fischer

**Fax:** (407) 228-0329

**Pages:** 4 (including cover)

**Phone:** (407) 228-0328

**Date:** February 20, 2002

**Re:** copy of Interview summary for 9/286,874

☐ **Urgent**    ☒ **For Review**    ☐ **Please Comment**    ☐ **Please Reply**    ☐ **Please Recycle**

• **Comments:**

In response to your fax inquiry (sent Feb. 19, 2002), attached is a copy of the Interview summary which was mailed December 26, 2001. The file has been removed from my office to match-up a paper which is the Interview Summary returned by the post office.

As noted previously, it appears that the mailing address for this application in our system may not be current. The mailing address on the office action is 426 Anderson Court, and the address on the last mailing from your office is from 1630 Hillcrest Street.

Please contact me if you need any more information.

Sincerely,

Joseph T. Woitach